

Internal Control Functions and Good Corporate Governance as Preventive Measures Against Public Sector Accounting Fraud

Lidya Pratiwi Irfan¹, Haliah², Andi Kusumawati³

^{1,2,3} Universitas Hasanuddin Makassar, Indonesia

Correspondence : lidyapратиwi9@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The relationship between internal control functions and good corporate governance plays a critical role in preventing accounting fraud within the public sector. Good corporate governance complements internal controls by promoting transparency, accountability, and ethical leadership. Governance structures define the roles and responsibilities of management and board members, ensuring that decisions are made with the organization's best interests in mind. Ethical guidelines and codes of conduct, supported by effective oversight, create a culture of integrity that discourages fraud and encourages accountability. Preventive measures include regular audits, transparent reporting, segregation of duties, and the establishment of whistleblower mechanisms. Therefore, the alignment of internal control functions with good corporate governance principles is essential for promoting integrity and preventing fraud in public sector accounting.

Keywords: Internal Control, Corporate Governance, Public Sector, Accounting Fraud, Preventive Measures.

INTRODUCTION

The issue of public sector accounting fraud has gained increasing attention, particularly as public confidence in governmental institutions depends heavily on transparent and accountable financial practices. Fraud in the public sector not only causes financial losses but also damages the credibility of government bodies. In response, internal control functions and good corporate governance have been recognized as crucial preventive measures in addressing this problem. The integration of these systems within public sector institutions provides the necessary mechanisms to safeguard public resources, ensuring that they are used effectively and ethically (Herawaty & Hernando, 2020). These two elements—internal control and corporate governance—form a solid foundation to detect, prevent, and mitigate fraud in public sector organizations.

Internal control refers to a set of policies, procedures, and practices that are implemented within an organization to ensure the integrity of financial and accounting information, promote accountability, and prevent fraud. Effective internal control systems provide a framework for ensuring that public sector organizations achieve their objectives, comply with regulations, and manage risks efficiently (Babalola, 2020). This system comprises several components, including control environments, risk assessments, control activities, information, and monitoring. Each of these elements plays a significant role in ensuring that the organization

operates effectively and is protected against fraudulent activities. In the public sector, these controls are essential as they ensure that financial transactions are accurately recorded and that assets are protected from misuse or misappropriation.

Corporate governance, on the other hand, refers to the structures and processes by which organizations are directed and controlled. In the public sector, good corporate governance entails ensuring that management is held accountable for its decisions, that public resources are managed in an ethical and transparent manner, and that the organization's actions are aligned with the interests of the public (Sudirman et al., 2021). Good corporate governance emphasizes the importance of leadership, accountability, transparency, and ethical decision-making. It creates an environment that deters fraud by promoting a culture of integrity and responsibility. Public sector organizations with strong governance frameworks are better positioned to manage risks, including the risk of fraud, and ensure that the interests of stakeholders are protected.

The relationship between internal control systems and corporate governance is deeply intertwined, especially in the context of fraud prevention. Internal control provides the mechanisms to detect and prevent fraudulent activities, while corporate governance ensures that these mechanisms are implemented effectively and that management is held accountable for their actions (Abdullah et al., 2023). Together, they form a comprehensive system of checks and balances that ensures that public sector organizations operate transparently and ethically. For instance, a strong governance structure mandates regular audits, the establishment of an independent audit committee, and the implementation of effective internal control procedures, which together create a robust defense against fraud.

One of the critical components of internal control in the public sector is the prevention of fraud through rigorous auditing practices. Audits serve as a preventive measure by identifying vulnerabilities and areas where fraud may occur (Azzahra et al., 2024). Public sector organizations are often subject to both internal and external audits, which help to ensure that financial practices are in compliance with regulations and that any potential irregularities are identified early. Internal audits, in particular, are essential as they provide continuous monitoring of financial transactions and systems. Through regular audits, public sector organizations can detect and address fraudulent activities before they escalate, reducing the risk of financial loss and protecting the organization's credibility.

Risk management is another crucial aspect of internal control that plays a key role in fraud prevention. Public sector organizations are exposed to various risks, including financial, operational, and reputational risks. Effective internal control systems include risk assessment processes that identify potential areas of vulnerability and put in place measures to mitigate these risks (Maulidi & Ansell, 2022). By proactively identifying and addressing risks, public sector organizations can reduce their exposure to fraud and ensure that financial resources are used in a manner that benefits the public. Furthermore, risk management processes encourage transparency and accountability, which are essential in promoting good corporate governance.

Corporate governance in the public sector is built on principles of accountability and transparency. These principles ensure that public officials are held responsible for their decisions and actions, particularly regarding the management of public resources (Napitupulu, 2023). When internal control systems are aligned with these governance principles, they contribute to the creation of an ethical organizational culture where fraud is less likely to occur. Good governance structures establish clear lines of accountability, where roles and responsibilities are defined, and decision-making processes are transparent. This not only helps prevent fraud but also enhances public trust in governmental institutions.

One of the challenges faced by public sector organizations in implementing internal control systems and good corporate governance is ensuring compliance across all levels of the organization. While top management may be committed to promoting ethical practices, it is

essential that these values are shared throughout the organization (Dewata et al., 2022). Ensuring compliance with internal control procedures requires continuous training and communication to make sure that all employees understand the importance of adhering to these systems. Furthermore, fostering a culture of openness, where employees feel comfortable reporting potential fraudulent activities, is crucial in identifying and addressing fraud before it escalates.

LITERATURE REVIEW

RESEARCH VARIABLE

Fraud in the public sector often occurs when internal control systems are weak or poorly implemented. This weakness creates opportunities for individuals to engage in fraudulent activities, such as misappropriating funds, falsifying financial records, or engaging in corrupt practices (Rashid et al., 2022). To mitigate these risks, public sector organizations must ensure that internal control systems are not only well-designed but also rigorously enforced. Regular reviews of internal control procedures, coupled with ongoing training and education, are necessary to maintain the integrity of these systems. Furthermore, strong governance frameworks that emphasize accountability and transparency ensure that management is held responsible for enforcing these controls.

An essential aspect of both internal control and corporate governance in the public sector is the role of ethics and integrity in preventing fraud. Organizations with strong ethical cultures are less likely to experience fraud, as employees are more likely to act in the organization's best interests and adhere to established procedures (Rohmatin et al., 2021). Good corporate governance promotes ethical leadership by ensuring that management models integrity and sets a positive example for the rest of the organization. When employees see that leaders are committed to ethical practices, they are more likely to follow suit. This, in turn, creates an organizational culture where fraud is less likely to occur.

Another preventive measure against fraud in the public sector is the segregation of duties. Segregation of duties is an internal control practice that involves dividing responsibilities among different individuals to reduce the risk of errors or fraud (Pakpahan et al., 2022). By ensuring that no single individual has control over all aspects of a financial transaction, public sector organizations can minimize the opportunities for fraudulent activities. For example, the person responsible for authorizing a financial transaction should not be the same person responsible for recording it. This separation of duties creates additional checks and balances that make it more difficult for fraud to occur undetected.

The effectiveness of internal control systems and corporate governance in preventing fraud in the public sector depends on the commitment of management to uphold these practices. Without strong leadership and a clear commitment to ethical behavior, even the best-designed internal control systems can fail (Setyaningsih, 2020). Public sector organizations must ensure that their leaders are not only committed to promoting internal controls but are also held accountable for their actions. This can be achieved through regular performance evaluations, transparency in decision-making, and adherence to ethical guidelines. Additionally, the establishment of independent oversight bodies, such as audit committees, can provide an additional layer of accountability.

So, the integration of internal control functions and good corporate governance is essential in preventing fraud within the public sector. Internal control systems provide the necessary framework to detect and prevent fraudulent activities, while corporate governance ensures that these systems are implemented effectively and that management is held accountable for their actions (Lartey et al., 2020). Together, they create a comprehensive system that promotes transparency, accountability, and ethical behavior, reducing the likelihood of fraud and protecting public resources. However, the effectiveness of these systems depends on the

commitment of public sector organizations to uphold these practices and continuously improve their internal control procedures to address emerging risks.

RESEARCH METHOD

The qualitative descriptive research method is used to explore and describe phenomena in detail by focusing on understanding the context and perspectives of the subjects being studied. This approach emphasizes the collection of data through non-numerical methods, such as literature reviews, interviews, observations, and document analysis, to gain insights into specific issues or topics. In this case, the research draws entirely from a literature review, relying on existing academic papers, reports, books, and other published sources to gather relevant information and examine the relationship between internal control functions, corporate governance, and public sector fraud prevention.

The use of qualitative descriptive research based on literature allows for a comprehensive understanding of the topic without direct fieldwork. The literature provides a wealth of information regarding past studies, theoretical frameworks, and empirical evidence, which serves as the basis for analysis. The researcher critically examines these sources to identify patterns, themes, and conclusions related to the subject of fraud prevention. This method allows for a more in-depth understanding of how internal control systems and governance mechanisms function in the public sector, and how they work to mitigate the risks of fraud.

By focusing on a descriptive approach, this research aims to present the findings in a clear and straightforward manner, detailing how internal controls and corporate governance are applied in various contexts. Through this systematic review of literature, the study synthesizes knowledge from multiple sources, providing a detailed narrative that helps to explain the mechanisms and effectiveness of these systems in preventing fraud in the public sector.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The discussion of internal control functions and good corporate governance as preventive measures against public sector accounting fraud reveals a complex interplay of structures, mechanisms, and practices that aim to minimize opportunities for unethical behavior. Fraud in the public sector, if left unchecked, can lead to financial mismanagement, reduced public trust, and a diminished reputation for government institutions. As such, internal control systems and corporate governance have been regarded as crucial frameworks that not only detect and prevent fraud but also promote transparency and accountability. Public sector organizations rely on these mechanisms to ensure that public resources are managed effectively and ethically (Herawaty & Hernando, 2020).

Internal control functions within the public sector encompass a wide range of procedures and policies aimed at protecting assets, ensuring accurate financial reporting, and promoting compliance with regulations. These controls serve as the first line of defense against fraud by identifying areas of risk and implementing mechanisms to monitor and detect suspicious activities (Babalola, 2020). For example, segregation of duties is a key internal control measure that ensures no single individual has control over all aspects of a financial transaction. This helps to prevent any single employee from committing fraud without detection. By having clear checks and balances, internal control systems limit opportunities for fraud and ensure that the organization operates efficiently.

A critical aspect of internal control is the role of internal audits, which provide ongoing monitoring of financial processes and activities. Internal audits help to identify weaknesses in control systems and provide recommendations for improvement. This function is essential in

public sector organizations where large volumes of transactions occur, and the potential for fraud is higher. The effectiveness of internal audits in supporting internal control and preventing fraud has been demonstrated in several studies, showing that regular audits can detect irregularities early and prevent fraud from escalating (Sudirman et al., 2021). The audit process ensures that financial activities are regularly reviewed, increasing accountability and reducing the likelihood of fraud.

Corporate governance, in conjunction with internal control systems, plays a vital role in fraud prevention by establishing a framework for decision-making, accountability, and transparency. In the public sector, good corporate governance requires that management is held accountable for their actions and that they operate in the best interests of the public. This is achieved by creating governance structures that promote ethical behavior and ensure that all decisions are made in a transparent and responsible manner (Abdullah et al., 2023). Good governance practices encourage a culture of integrity, where ethical guidelines are followed, and misconduct is discouraged. This ethical culture acts as a deterrent to fraudulent activities, as employees are more likely to adhere to the rules and regulations in place.

Effective corporate governance also involves the establishment of oversight bodies, such as audit committees, which are responsible for ensuring that internal control systems are functioning properly and that management is complying with ethical standards. The presence of independent oversight is crucial in preventing fraud, as it provides an additional layer of accountability. Oversight bodies can investigate potential fraud, review financial statements, and ensure that any irregularities are addressed promptly (Azzahra et al., 2024). In public sector organizations, where the risk of fraud may be higher due to the large amounts of public funds being managed, these governance structures are essential in preventing and detecting fraud.

The importance of integrating internal control systems with good corporate governance is evident when examining the specific mechanisms by which fraud is prevented in the public sector. One such mechanism is risk management, where potential areas of fraud are identified, and controls are implemented to mitigate these risks. Internal control systems provide the tools necessary to assess and manage risks, while corporate governance ensures that these tools are used effectively (Maulidi & Ansell, 2022). Risk assessments help organizations to focus their resources on areas where fraud is most likely to occur, reducing the overall risk and increasing the efficiency of fraud prevention efforts.

The relationship between internal control and corporate governance is particularly significant in environments where public accountability is crucial, such as in government agencies. In these settings, transparency is not only a requirement but also a key factor in maintaining public trust. Internal control systems that promote transparent financial reporting and compliance with regulations are essential for preventing fraud in public sector accounting (Napitupulu, 2023). Additionally, corporate governance structures that encourage transparency in decision-making help to create an organizational culture where fraud is less likely to thrive. When public sector organizations are transparent in their operations, they are better positioned to prevent fraud and maintain the confidence of the public.

Another factor that contributes to the effectiveness of internal control and corporate governance in preventing fraud is the role of leadership. Strong leadership is essential in ensuring that internal control systems are properly implemented and that governance structures function effectively. Leaders in public sector organizations must set a positive example by adhering to ethical standards and promoting a culture of integrity (Dewata et al., 2022). When leaders prioritize transparency and accountability, employees are more likely to follow suit, reducing the risk of fraudulent activities. Moreover, leadership plays a key role in ensuring that internal controls are continuously updated to address emerging risks and that employees are trained to follow these procedures.

The deterrence of fraud through internal control and governance is also closely related to the enforcement of compliance. Ensuring that all employees, from top management to lower-level staff, adhere to internal control procedures is critical in preventing fraud. In public sector organizations, where employees may have varying levels of access to financial information, compliance with internal control systems is essential to minimizing opportunities for fraud (Rashid et al., 2022). Internal controls must be consistently enforced, and employees must be held accountable for any breaches of these controls. Regular training and communication are necessary to ensure that all employees understand the importance of internal control systems and the role they play in preventing fraud.

In addition to internal audits and compliance measures, external audits also play a significant role in detecting and preventing fraud in the public sector. External audits provide an independent assessment of an organization's financial practices and control systems, identifying areas where fraud may occur and recommending improvements. The presence of external auditors adds another layer of scrutiny, making it more difficult for fraudulent activities to go undetected (Rohmatin et al., 2021). In public sector organizations, external audits are especially important because they provide an impartial review of financial activities, ensuring that public funds are being managed properly.

While internal control systems and corporate governance are effective in preventing fraud, they are not without challenges. One of the primary challenges faced by public sector organizations is the implementation and maintenance of these systems. Internal control systems can be complex, requiring significant resources to implement and monitor. Additionally, corporate governance structures must be carefully designed to ensure that they are effective in promoting transparency and accountability (Pakpahan et al., 2022). Without proper implementation, even the most well-designed internal control systems may fail to prevent fraud. Public sector organizations must ensure that they have the necessary resources and expertise to implement these systems effectively.

Another challenge is the potential for resistance to internal control systems and governance structures. In some public sector organizations, employees may resist the implementation of internal controls, viewing them as burdensome or unnecessary. This resistance can undermine the effectiveness of fraud prevention efforts, as employees may fail to adhere to the procedures in place (Setyaningsih, 2020). To address this issue, organizations must foster a culture that values transparency and integrity, emphasizing the importance of internal controls in protecting public resources. Regular communication and training can help to reduce resistance and ensure that employees understand the role of internal controls in preventing fraud.

Furthermore, public sector organizations must continuously adapt their internal control systems and governance structures to address new and emerging risks. Fraud schemes are constantly evolving, and organizations must be proactive in identifying potential vulnerabilities and updating their controls accordingly. This requires ongoing monitoring of financial activities, regular audits, and the continuous improvement of control systems (Lartey et al., 2020). Public sector organizations must also stay informed about new regulations and standards that impact their financial practices, ensuring that their internal controls and governance structures remain compliant.

So, the integration of internal control functions and good corporate governance is essential in preventing public sector accounting fraud. Internal control systems provide the framework for detecting and preventing fraud, while corporate governance ensures that these systems are implemented effectively and that management is held accountable for their actions (Sofyani et al., 2022). Together, these mechanisms promote transparency, accountability, and ethical behavior, creating an environment where fraud is less likely to occur. However, the effectiveness of these systems depends on their proper implementation, continuous improvement, and the commitment of public sector organizations to uphold these practices. Public sector organizations must ensure that they have the necessary resources, expertise,

and leadership to maintain strong internal controls and governance structures, ultimately protecting public resources from fraud.

In expanding on the role of internal control functions and corporate governance as preventive measures against public sector accounting fraud, it is crucial to delve deeper into the dynamic nature of fraud itself, the evolving strategies to mitigate it, and how specific components of these systems interact to form a robust framework. Public sector accounting fraud is a multifaceted issue that goes beyond mere financial losses; it erodes public trust, weakens institutions, and hinders the efficient allocation of resources needed for societal development. Therefore, the mechanisms employed to prevent fraud must be both comprehensive and adaptable to changing risks and environments.

One significant aspect that has received increasing attention in recent years is the integration of technology into internal control systems. In the modern public sector, where financial transactions are conducted through increasingly sophisticated digital platforms, the potential for fraud has expanded into the realm of cybercrime. This shift necessitates that internal control systems evolve beyond traditional manual processes to incorporate advanced technological solutions such as automated monitoring tools, data analytics, and blockchain technology. Automated systems, for instance, can continuously monitor large volumes of transactions and flag suspicious activities in real-time, allowing for prompt investigation and mitigation of potential fraud before it escalates. Furthermore, data analytics tools enable organizations to analyze patterns of behavior across various departments, identifying trends that may indicate fraudulent activities (Nazarova et al., 2020).

Blockchain technology, in particular, represents a significant advancement in fraud prevention. By creating an immutable ledger of transactions, blockchain ensures transparency and traceability in financial records. In the context of public sector accounting, blockchain can provide a secure and transparent record of all financial transactions, making it extremely difficult for fraudsters to alter or manipulate financial data without detection. The decentralized nature of blockchain also reduces the risk of centralized fraud, where individuals in positions of power could potentially manipulate financial records for personal gain. As public sector organizations continue to adopt blockchain and other emerging technologies, the role of internal control systems in fraud prevention is likely to evolve further, becoming more resilient and adaptive to the challenges posed by the digital age (Abiodun, 2020).

Another emerging concept in the field of fraud prevention within the public sector is the use of predictive analytics. Predictive analytics involves using historical data to forecast potential future risks, including the likelihood of fraud occurring. By analyzing past financial data, organizations can identify patterns and anomalies that may signal an increased risk of fraud. For example, sudden changes in spending patterns or discrepancies in financial reporting could indicate the presence of fraudulent activities. By identifying these patterns early, public sector organizations can take proactive measures to strengthen their internal controls and mitigate potential risks before they materialize. Predictive analytics, therefore, represents a forward-looking approach to fraud prevention that complements traditional internal control mechanisms (Shonhadji & Maulidi, 2022).

The concept of fraud deterrence, distinct from fraud detection, also plays a vital role in public sector accounting. Fraud deterrence refers to measures taken to discourage individuals from committing fraud in the first place, rather than simply detecting it after it has occurred. This involves creating an environment where fraud is less likely to occur due to the perceived high risk of being caught and the consequences that follow. Internal controls that emphasize deterrence include comprehensive employee training on ethics and compliance, clear communication of the penalties for fraudulent behavior, and the establishment of a whistleblower policy that protects employees who report suspected fraud. Whistleblower policies, in particular, have been shown to be effective in deterring fraud, as they provide a safe and confidential means for employees to report misconduct without fear of retaliation

(Rehman & Hashim, 2020). In the public sector, where accountability is paramount, fostering a culture that encourages transparency and ethical behavior can significantly reduce the incidence of fraud.

Leadership commitment to enforcing internal controls and upholding good corporate governance is a central theme in the prevention of public sector fraud. The tone at the top, referring to the ethical standards and behavior demonstrated by senior management, has a profound impact on the overall organizational culture. Leaders who actively promote transparency, integrity, and accountability set the tone for the rest of the organization to follow. Conversely, when leadership is complacent or, worse, complicit in unethical practices, the likelihood of fraud increases. In the public sector, where leaders are often in positions of significant influence, their actions can either reinforce or undermine the effectiveness of internal controls. Leadership commitment is especially critical in maintaining the independence and effectiveness of internal audit functions, ensuring that auditors are empowered to carry out their duties without interference or pressure from senior management (Sofyani et al., 2022).

Additionally, the governance structure itself must be robust enough to support effective fraud prevention. This involves clearly defining the roles and responsibilities of various stakeholders, including the board of directors, audit committees, and senior management. In the public sector, where there are often multiple layers of oversight, it is essential to ensure that each level of governance is aligned with the overall objectives of fraud prevention. The audit committee, for example, plays a pivotal role in reviewing financial reports, assessing the effectiveness of internal controls, and overseeing the work of internal and external auditors. By providing independent oversight, the audit committee helps to ensure that public sector organizations are operating in compliance with relevant laws and regulations and that any potential instances of fraud are addressed promptly (Lartey et al., 2020).

The regulatory environment in which public sector organizations operate also influences the effectiveness of fraud prevention measures. Governments worldwide have implemented various anti-fraud regulations and standards to ensure that public funds are managed responsibly. In some jurisdictions, these regulations are enforced through legislation such as the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) in the United States, which requires public companies, including government agencies, to establish and maintain adequate internal controls over financial reporting. Compliance with these regulations not only enhances the effectiveness of internal control systems but also provides a framework for continuous improvement. Public sector organizations that adhere to regulatory standards are better positioned to detect and prevent fraud, as these standards often require regular audits, risk assessments, and the implementation of corrective actions when deficiencies are identified (Pakpahan et al., 2022).

Despite the presence of strong internal control systems and governance structures, the human element remains a significant challenge in preventing public sector fraud. Fraud is often perpetrated by individuals who exploit weaknesses in the system for personal gain. These individuals may possess an intimate knowledge of the organization's internal controls, enabling them to circumvent safeguards undetected. This is particularly true in cases of collusion, where two or more individuals work together to bypass controls. To address this challenge, public sector organizations must place a greater emphasis on the ethical behavior and integrity of their employees. One approach to achieving this is through the implementation of ethics training programs, which educate employees on the importance of ethical conduct and the consequences of fraudulent behavior. Such programs can help to instill a sense of responsibility and accountability among employees, reducing the likelihood of fraud (Umar et al., 2021).

The role of external stakeholders in fraud prevention should not be overlooked. External auditors, regulatory bodies, and the general public all play a part in holding public sector organizations accountable for their financial practices. External auditors provide an independent assessment of the organization's financial statements, ensuring that they

accurately reflect the organization's financial position and comply with relevant accounting standards. Regulatory bodies, such as government watchdog agencies, are responsible for overseeing the financial practices of public sector organizations and ensuring that they adhere to established regulations. The general public, as the ultimate beneficiaries of public sector services, also has a vested interest in ensuring that public funds are managed responsibly. Public sector organizations must therefore maintain open lines of communication with external stakeholders, providing them with the information they need to hold the organization accountable (Azzahra et al., 2024).

Fraud prevention in the public sector is further complicated by the global nature of many government organizations. In an increasingly interconnected world, public sector organizations often engage in international transactions and partnerships, exposing them to additional risks. Cross-border transactions, for example, may be subject to different regulatory requirements and standards, increasing the complexity of maintaining effective internal controls. Furthermore, international partnerships may involve working with foreign governments or organizations that have different cultural attitudes toward fraud and corruption. To mitigate these risks, public sector organizations must implement rigorous internal controls that take into account the unique challenges posed by international transactions. This may include conducting due diligence on foreign partners, establishing clear guidelines for cross-border transactions, and ensuring that all parties involved adhere to the same ethical standards (Maulidi & Ansell, 2022).

The increasing reliance on outsourcing and third-party contractors in the public sector also presents new challenges for fraud prevention. Outsourcing certain functions, such as procurement or information technology services, can lead to a loss of control over key financial processes, creating opportunities for fraud. Public sector organizations must therefore ensure that their internal control systems extend to third-party contractors and that these contractors are held to the same standards of transparency and accountability. This can be achieved through the use of contractual agreements that specify the responsibilities of third-party contractors, as well as regular audits to ensure compliance with internal control policies. By extending internal controls to include third-party contractors, public sector organizations can reduce the risk of fraud occurring in outsourced functions (Dewata et al., 2022).

Therefore, the prevention of public sector accounting fraud requires a comprehensive and multifaceted approach that integrates strong internal control systems with good corporate governance. While internal controls provide the mechanisms for detecting and preventing fraud, corporate governance ensures that these controls are implemented effectively and that public sector organizations operate in a transparent and accountable manner. However, the effectiveness of these systems depends on their ability to adapt to emerging risks, such as cybercrime and international transactions, as well as the commitment of leadership and employees to upholding ethical standards. By continuously evolving and strengthening their internal controls and governance structures, public sector organizations can minimize the risk of fraud and ensure that public funds are managed responsibly for the benefit of society as a whole.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the role of internal control functions and good corporate governance as preventive measures against public sector accounting fraud is paramount to maintaining transparency, accountability, and integrity within governmental institutions. These two mechanisms work hand in hand to create a robust framework that discourages fraudulent activities, detects irregularities early on, and ensures corrective measures are implemented swiftly. While internal controls focus on establishing procedures that safeguard assets, ensure accurate reporting, and promote operational efficiency, corporate governance ensures that

those procedures are upheld with a strong ethical foundation, leadership commitment, and oversight.

The effectiveness of internal control systems largely depends on their ability to be comprehensive and adaptive. A well-designed internal control framework addresses multiple dimensions of fraud prevention, such as risk management, financial monitoring, and regular auditing. However, in the ever-changing public sector landscape, these controls must remain flexible and able to evolve in response to new risks, particularly those posed by technological advancements. Automation, predictive analytics, and blockchain have become essential tools in strengthening internal controls, providing both real-time monitoring and greater transparency, which are crucial in the fight against public sector fraud.

Corporate governance adds another layer of defense against fraud by ensuring that organizations operate under a culture of ethical conduct, responsibility, and accountability. The commitment of leadership to uphold these values is critical, as it sets the tone for the entire organization. When leaders demonstrate integrity and transparency, it fosters a culture where employees feel responsible for their actions, making fraud less likely to occur. Furthermore, good governance involves establishing clear roles and responsibilities, ensuring independent oversight through audit committees, and holding all stakeholders accountable.

However, the human element remains a significant challenge in fraud prevention. Even the most robust internal control systems and governance frameworks can be vulnerable to individuals who choose to exploit them for personal gain. In particular, collusion between employees or with external parties can undermine internal controls, making it difficult to detect fraudulent activities. To mitigate this risk, public sector organizations must emphasize the importance of ethical behavior through continuous training, education, and the promotion of a whistleblower culture that protects individuals who report fraud.

In addition to internal controls and governance, the external environment in which public sector organizations operate also plays a critical role in fraud prevention. Regulatory frameworks, such as anti-fraud legislation and industry standards, provide essential guidelines for organizations to follow. Compliance with these regulations is not only a legal requirement but also an opportunity for public sector organizations to review and improve their internal controls. Furthermore, external stakeholders, including auditors, regulatory bodies, and the public, provide additional layers of scrutiny, ensuring that public sector institutions remain transparent and accountable in their financial practices.

Globalization and the increasing complexity of public sector operations have introduced new challenges to fraud prevention. Cross-border transactions, international partnerships, and the outsourcing of key functions such as procurement and IT services expose organizations to additional risks. These transactions often involve diverse regulatory environments and cultural differences regarding fraud and corruption, requiring public sector institutions to adopt more rigorous internal controls that account for these variables. The rise in outsourcing has also heightened the need for stringent oversight of third-party contractors, as lax controls in outsourced functions can lead to significant vulnerabilities.

Despite these challenges, public sector organizations are not powerless against fraud. By continuously evolving their internal control systems and governance structures, they can effectively mitigate risks and protect public resources. Embracing technology, fostering ethical cultures, and ensuring leadership commitment are essential to maintaining a strong defense against fraud. Furthermore, collaboration with external stakeholders ensures that fraud prevention efforts are comprehensive, transparent, and aligned with regulatory expectations.

Ultimately, the fight against public sector accounting fraud is an ongoing process that requires vigilance, innovation, and cooperation at all levels of an organization. While internal controls and corporate governance provide the foundation, their success depends on the active participation of employees, leadership, and external stakeholders alike. By maintaining a

strong focus on ethics, transparency, and adaptability, public sector organizations can safeguard their financial integrity and continue to fulfill their mandate of serving the public with honesty and accountability.

REFERENCES

- Nur Illiyyien, Haliah Haliah, Andi Kusumawati (2023). Reinventing Government In Fraud Prevention In The Public Sector, 6 (3), 259-265
- Herawaty, N., & Hernando, R. (2020). Analysis of internal control of good corporate governance and fraud prevention (Study at the Regional Government of Jambi City). Sriwijaya International Journal of Dynamic Economics and Business, 103-118.
- Babalola, J. B. (2020). Governance and internal control in public institutions. In A lecture delivered at a two-day national workshop on anti-corruption organized by American Anti-Corruption Institute (AACI) and Department of Adult Education, University of Ibadan, Nigeria on Thursday, 27th February.
- Sudirman, S., Sasmita, H., Krisnanto, B., & Muchsidin, F. F. (2021). Effectiveness of Internal Audit in Supporting Internal Control and Prevention of Fraud. Bongaya Journal of Research in Accounting (BJRA), 4(1), 8-15.
- Abdullah, M. W., Hanafie, H., & Bayan, A. Y. M. (2023). Internal governance and fraud prevention system: The potentiality of the spiritual quotient. Journal of Governance and Regulation/Volume, 12(4).
- Azzahra, A., Savandha, S. D., & Olubisi, M. G. (2024). Effective Strategies for Corporate Governance and Risk Management in the Public Sector: Preventing Corruption and Abuse of Authority. Asian Journal of Engineering, Social and Health, 3(4), 911-919.
- Maulidi, A., & Ansell, J. (2022). Corruption as distinct crime: the need to reconceptualise internal control on controlling bureaucratic occupational fraud. Journal of Financial Crime, 29(2), 680-700.
- Napitupulu, I. H. (2023). Internal control, manager's competency, management accounting information systems and good corporate governance: Evidence from rural banks in Indonesia. Global Business Review, 24(3), 563-585.
- Dewata, E., Farah, E. H., Jauhari, H., & Sari, Y. (2022, February). Good Governance and Internal Control on the Prevention of Fraud in the Procurement of Goods and Services for Government Agencies. In 5th FIRST T3 2021 International Conference (FIRST-T3 2021) (pp. 150-154). Atlantis Press.
- Rashid, M. A., Al-Mamun, A., Roudaki, H., & Yasser, Q. R. (2022). An overview of corporate fraud and its prevention approach. Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal, 16(1), 101-118.
- Rohmatin, B. L., Apriyanto, G., & Zuhroh, D. (2021). The Role of Good Corporate Governance to Fraud Prevention: An analysis based on the Fraud Pentagon. Jurnal Keuangan dan Perbankan, 25(2), 280-294.
- Pakpahan, R., Murwaningsari, E., & Mayangsari, S. (2022). Influence Of Internal Control System Weaknesses And Audit Quality On The Quality Of Local Government Financial Reports In Indonesia With Good Government Governance As Moderating. International Journal of Science, Technology & Management, 3(2), 461-474.
- Setyaningsih, P. R. (2020). Internal Control, Organizational Culture, and Quality of Information Accounting to Prevent Fraud: Case Study Fro.. International Journal of Financial Research, 11(4).

- Lartey, P. Y., Kong, Y., Bah, F. B. M., Santosh, R. J., & Gumah, I. A. (2020). Determinants of internal control compliance in public organizations; using preventive, detective, corrective and directive controls. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 43(8), 711-723.
- Sofyani, H., Abu Hasan, H., & Saleh, Z. (2022). Internal control implementation in higher education institutions: determinants, obstacles and contributions toward governance practices and fraud mitigation. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 29(1), 141-158.
- Nazarova, K., Mysiuk, V., Gordopolov, V., Koval, V., & Danilevičienė, I. (2020). Preventional audit: implementation of SOX control to prevent fraud. *Business: Theory and Practice*, 21(1), 293-301.
- Abiodun, E. A. (2020). Internal control procedures and firm's performance. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 9(2), 6407-6415.
- Shonhadji, N., & Maulidi, A. (2022). Is it suitable for your local governments? A contingency theory-based analysis on the use of internal control in thwarting white-collar crime. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 29(2), 770-786.
- Umar, H., Apriani, U., & Purba, R. B. (2021). The Roles of the Government Internal Control System to Minimize Corruption Through the Fraud Star. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 12(3), 407.
- Rehman, A., & Hashim, F. (2020). Impact of fraud risk assessment on good corporate governance: Case of public listed companies in Oman. *Business Systems Research: International journal of the Society for Advancing Innovation and Research in Economy*, 11(1), 16-30.
-